arXiv:astro-ph/0611905v1 29 Nov 2006

Gravitational Leptogenesis

Gaetano Lambiase[a,b], Subhendra Mohanty[a,b,c]
[a] *Department of Physics, University of Salerno, 84081 - Baronissi, Italy.*
[b] *INFN - Gruppo Collegato di Salerno, Italy. and*
[c] *Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad 380009, India.*

We introduce a dimension five CP violating coupling between the Ricci scalar and fermions. This operator splits the energy level between neutrinos and anti-neutrinos and can generate a lepton-asymmetry in the the radiation era if heavy Majorana neutrinos decouple at the GUT scale. This operator can also generate a lepton asymmetry during warm inflation if the light neutrinos have a Majorana mass $m_\nu \simeq 0.25eV$ which is observable in double beta decay experiments.

The successful prediction of the light element abundances by BBN [1] and the observations of CMB anisotropies [2] show that the baryon to photon number of the universe is $n_B/s = (9.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-11}$. Explaining this number in terms of known parameters is one of the major problems in cosmology.

Recently it was pointed out [3] that baryogenesis can be achieved by gravitational violation of the baryon number by a dimension six operator $\mathcal{L} = (1/M^2)\sqrt{-g}\, R\, \partial_\mu J^\mu$, where J_μ can be a linear combination of baryonic and leptonic currents and R is the Ricci scalar. Sakharov condition [4] of CP violation is met as this operator is odd under CP. However when R takes a non-zero value CPT is broken, so Sakharov's condition baryon number violating interactions being of out of thermal equilibrium is not required. Due to CPT violation there is an effective chemical potential which generates baryon-asymmetry at thermal equilibrium.

In this paper we show that the lowest order CP violating interaction between fermions and background gravity is the dimension five operator $\mathcal{L}_{\not{C}\not{P}} = (1/M_P)\sqrt{-g}\, R\bar{\psi}\, i\gamma_5\psi$, where $M_P = (8\pi G)^{1/2} = 2.4 \times 10^{18}GeV$ is the reduced Planck mass. If ψ is a Majorana neutrino, this operator splits the energy levels of the particles and anti-particles, and in the presence of lepton number violating interactions, there is a net lepton asymmetry generated at thermal equilibrium. In a radiation dominated era, the lepton asymmetry is given in terms of the decoupling temperature T_D and the equation of state parameter $\omega = p/\rho$

$$\frac{n_L}{s} \simeq (1-3\omega)\,\frac{T_D^4}{M_P^4}\,. \tag{1}$$

With $(1-3\omega) \sim 10^{-2}$ (generated by quantum corrections to the thermodynamic free energy of the plasma [5]), and decoupling temperature at the GUT scale, $T_D \sim 10^{16}GeV$, we obtain the value $(n_L/s) \simeq 10^{-10}$ for lepton asymmetry. As first pointed out in [6], electro-weak sphalerons at the temperatures $T \sim 10^3GeV$ violate $B+L$ and conserve $B-L$ [7]. Therefore, if a lepton asymmetry is generated at an earlier epoch, it gets converted to baryon asymmetry of the same magnitude by the electro-weak sphalerons. We show that if we have heavy neutrinos N_R and the equilibrium between the lepton number violating interactions, which change the N_R and N_R^c abundances, frozen out at the decoupling temperature corresponding to GUT scale, then the subsequent decays of N_R and N_R^c would give rise to the required lepton asymmetry even if there is no CP violation in the decay, i.e. the CP violation in the Yukawa couplings is negligible. This is different from the standard scenario where $n(N_R) = n(N_R^c)$ as demanded by CPT, but $\Gamma(N_R) \neq \Gamma(N_R^c)$ due to the complex phases of the Yukawa coupling matrix, and a net lepton number arises from the interference terms of the tree-level and one loop diagrams [6, 8, 9, 10].

We also show that in warm-inflation [11] the lepton number asymmetry can arise from standard model fields. The lepton number violating $\nu_L \leftrightarrow \nu_L^c$ interactions arise from an effective dimension five operator which gives rise to a Majorana mass of the light neutrinos. The lepton asymmetry in this case can be expressed in terms of the inflation parameters ϵ and H

$$\frac{n_L}{s} \sim 0.1\frac{\epsilon H^3}{M_P T_l}\,. \tag{2}$$

Taking $H \sim T_l \sim 10^{13}\,GeV$ and the slow roll $\epsilon = 0.001$ we can fit the CMB observations and obtain the required value $(n_L/s) \simeq 10^{-10}$ for the lepton-asymmetry. The decoupling temperature T_l is related to the light neutrino mass and $T_l = 10^{13}GeV$ implies that the standard model neutrinos have a Majorana mass $m_\nu = 0.25eV$, which can be tested in double-beta decay experiments [12].

We start with the generalization of the energy-momentum operator for fermions which can violate various combinations of the discrete symmetries C, P and T [13, 14]

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\mu\nu} \; &= \; \bar{\psi}(P_f)[F_1 P_\mu P_\nu + F_2\sigma_{\mu\alpha}q^\alpha P_\nu + F_3\gamma_5\sigma_{\mu\alpha}q^\alpha P_\nu \\ &+ \; F_4(q_\mu q_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}) + F_5\gamma_5(q_\mu q_\nu - g_{\mu\nu})]\psi(P_i) \\ &+ \; (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $P = P_f + P_i$ and $q = P_f - P_i$. Lorentz invariance in the local-inertial frame demands that $q^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = q^\nu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The coefficients of the form factors F_3 and F_5 are odd under CP.

In cosmological applications the fermion interactions take place in a local inertial frame. The metric in the neighborhood of any point x^μ can be expressed as

$$g_{\mu\nu}(y) = \eta_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{2} R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}(x)\,(x-y)^\alpha (x-y)^\beta + \ldots . \quad (4)$$

The space is locally inertial in the sense that the Christoffel connections $\Gamma^\mu_{\ \alpha\beta}(x) = 0$ and $\nabla_\nu = \partial_\nu$. Gravitational interactions of fermions in a local inertial frame will be given by the coupling of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} \,. \quad (5)$$

We take the stress tensor operator in (3) and contract it with the locally flat metric (4). Using $q_\mu x^\nu = \delta^\nu_\mu$ and taking the limits $y \to x$ we obtain the expression for the gravitational interaction of fermions in a local inertial frame, allowing for CP violations,

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = F_4 R(x) \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) + F_5 R(x) \bar{\psi}(x) i\gamma_5 \psi(x) \,. \quad (6)$$

So in a local inertial frame we could have a CP even gravitational coupling given by the coefficient of F_4 and a CP violating gravitational interaction given by the coefficient of F_5. On dimensional grounds we can expect

$$F_4 \sim F_5 \equiv \beta \sim \frac{1}{M_P} \,. \quad (7)$$

The F_4 term adds a small correction to the mass of the fermions and we will not pursue its implications in this paper. The CP violating F_5 term will has more interesting consequences.

The leading order (in coupling $1/M_P$) *CP* violating interaction between fermions and gravity is given by the dimension five operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\not{CP}} = \sqrt{-g}\,\beta\, R \bar{\psi}\, i\gamma_5 \psi \,. \quad (8)$$

This operator is invariant under Local Lorentz transformation and is even under C and odd under P and conserves CPT. We shall assume that the coupling β is identical for all fermions, i.e. gravity is flavor blind. In a non-zero background R, there is an effective CPT violation for the fermions. Using the Dirac equation for the four component fermion ψ

$$i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m\psi - \beta R \bar{\psi}\, i\gamma_5 \psi = 0 \,, \quad (9)$$

we obtain the dispersion relation

$$E^2 \psi = (\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 + \beta^2 R^2)\psi - \beta(\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu R)\psi \,. \quad (10)$$

For a spatially homogenous background, the energy levels of the left and right handed fermions split as

$$E^2 \psi_\pm = (\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 + \beta^2 R^2 \mp \beta \dot{R})\psi_\pm \,, \quad (11)$$

where $\psi_\pm = (1/2)(1 \pm \gamma_5)\psi$ and over-dot represents time derivative. Now consider a Majorana neutrino in the chiral representation $\nu_M = (\nu, i\sigma_2 \nu^*)^T = (\nu_L, {\nu_L}^c)^T$. $\dot{R} \neq 0$ implies a spontaneous CPT violation. The energy levels of the two component left handed neutrino $\nu_L = \psi_+$ and the right handed antineutrino $(\nu_L)^c = \psi_-$ are

$$E_\pm = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 + \beta^2 R^2} \mp \frac{\beta \dot{R}}{2\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 + \beta^2 R^2}} \,. \quad (12)$$

This generates a difference between neutrinos and antineutrinos at thermal equilibrium, and a lepton number asymmetry given by

$$\begin{aligned} n_L &= n(\psi_+) - n(\psi_-) \\ &= g_\nu \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{E_+}{T}}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{E_-}{T}}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2} g_\nu\, T\, \beta \dot{R}\, f(\frac{m}{T}) \,, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with

$$f\left(\frac{m}{T}\right) = \ln(1 + e^{m/T}) - \frac{e^{m/T}}{e^{m/T} + 1} \frac{m}{T} \,, \quad (14)$$

where g_ν is the number of neutrino flavors and T is the temperature at which the lepton number interactions which convert $\psi_+ \leftrightarrow \psi_- = \psi_+^c$ are taking place at equilibrium. In our applications for both the heavy right handed neutrinos as well as the standard model left handed neutrinos, $m/T \ll 1$, and in that limit $f(m/T) \simeq \ln(2)$. We have also assumed that $\beta R << m$, otherwise m in equation (14) should be replaced by $\sqrt{m^2 + \beta^2 R^2}$. A comment is in order: The interaction (8) also splits the energy levels of the left and right handed charged fermions, for example the dispersion relation of e_L^- and e_R^- will be different. This does not however generate a lepton asymmetry as e_L^- and e_R^- carry the same lepton number unlike Majorana neutrinos ν_L and $\nu_R = \nu_L^c$. There is a energy level split between e_L^- and e_R^+ but this does not give rise to a net lepton asymmetry due to charge conservation.

The lepton number n_L to entropy $s(= 0.44 g_{*s} T^3)$ ratio will be frozen at the decoupling temperature T_D when the interaction rate falls below the Hubble expansion rate

$$\frac{n_L}{s} \simeq 0.08 \frac{g_\nu}{g_{*s}} \frac{\beta \dot{R}}{T^2}\Big|_{T_D} \,, \quad (15)$$

where $g_{*s} \sim 106.7$ is the effective number of relativistic particles at the time of decoupling.

Leptogenesis by CP conserving heavy neutrino decays. GUT containing the $SO(10)$ group will contain a singlet right handed neutrino N_R (which is assigned a lepton number $L(N_R) = -1$) with a large Majorana mass. The heavy right-handed Majorana neutrino interactions, relevant for leptogenesis, are described by the lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -h_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\phi^\dagger}\ \overline{N_{R\alpha}} l_{L\beta}) - \frac{1}{2} N_R^c\, M\, N_R + h.c. \,, \quad (16)$$

where M is the right handed neutrino mass-matrix, $l_{L\alpha} = (\nu_\alpha, e_\alpha^-)_L^T$ is the left-handed lepton doublet (α denotes the generation), $\phi = (\phi^+, \phi^0)^T$ is the Higgs doublet. In the scenario of leptogenesis introduced by Fukugita and Yanagida [6], lepton number violation is achieved by the decays $N_R \rightarrow \phi + l_L$ and also ${N_R}^c \rightarrow \phi^\dagger + {l_L}^c$. The difference in the production rate of l_L compared to l_L^c, which is necessary for leptogenesis, is achieved via the CP violation. In the standard scenario, $n(N_R) = n(N_R^c)$ as demanded by CPT, but $\Gamma(N_R \rightarrow l_L + \phi) \neq \Gamma(N_R^c \rightarrow l_L^c + \phi^\dagger)$ due to the complex phases of the Yukawa coupling matrix $h_{\alpha\beta}$, and a net lepton number arises from the interference terms of the tree-level and one loop diagrams [8, 9, 10]. In our model the number of N_R and N_R^c at thermal equilibrium differ, $n(N_R) \neq n(N_R^c)$ as there is a non-zero background value of $\dot{R}$. The heavy neutrino lepton asymmetry $[n(N_R) - n(N_R^c)]/s$ is equal to the asymmetry of the light neutrinos $[n(\nu_L) - n(\nu_L^c)]/s$ even when decay widths $\Gamma(N_R \rightarrow l_L + \phi) = \Gamma(N_R^c \rightarrow l_L^c + \phi^\dagger)$, i.e. even if CP violation in the decays arising from complex coupling constants are small. In the radiation era

$$\dot{R} = -(1 - 3\omega)\,\frac{\dot{\rho}}{M_P^2}\,. \tag{17}$$

In a $SU(N)$ gauge theory with N_f fermion flavors, the effective equation of state is given by [3, 5]

$$1 - 3\omega = \frac{5\alpha^2}{6\pi^2}\,\frac{[N_c + (5/4)N_f]\,[11/3)N_c - (2/3)N_f]}{2 + (7/2)\,[N_c N_f/(N_c^2 - 1)]} \tag{18}$$

The numerical value of $1 - 3\omega$ depends the gauge group and the fermions, and lies in the range $(0.01 - 0.1)$ [3]. We shall take the conservative limit, $1 - 3\omega = 0.01$. One gets

$$\dot{R} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}(1 - 3\omega)\left(\frac{g_*\pi^2}{30}\right)^{3/2}\frac{T^6}{M_P^3} = 4.82\,\frac{T^6}{M_P^3}\,. \tag{19}$$

Using (19) to evaluate the lepton asymmetry (15), it follows

$$\frac{n_L}{s} = 0.011\,\frac{T_D^4}{M_P^4}\,. \tag{20}$$

If the decoupling temperature of the interactions that keep the heavy right handed neutrinos in thermal equilibrium is $T_D \sim 2 \times 10^{16}\,GeV$ (the GUT scale), we obtain the required value for $n_L/s \sim 10^{-10}$.

The light neutrino asymmetry can be erased by the interactions $\nu_L + \phi_0 \rightarrow \nu_L^c + \phi_0^\dagger$ with the standard model Higgs. To prevent the erasure of the lepton asymmetry by Higgs scattering, we must demand that the lightest heavy neutrino mass be lower than the decoupling temperature of the light-neutrino Higgs interaction, which is calculated as follows. The light neutrino masses arise from an effective dimension five operator [15] which is obtained from (16) by heavy neutrino exchange

$$\mathcal{L} = (h\,M^{-1}\,h^T)_{\alpha\beta}\,(\overline{{l_{L\alpha}}^c}\;\tilde{\phi}^*)(\tilde{\phi}^\dagger\;l_{L\beta}) + h.c. \tag{21}$$

In the electroweak era, when the Higgs field in (21) acquires a vev, $\langle\phi^0\rangle = v = 174\ GeV$, this operator gives rise to a Majorana neutrino mass matrix

$$m_{\alpha\beta} = 4v^2\,(h\,M^{-1}\,h^T)_{\alpha\beta} \tag{22}$$

The interaction rate of the lepton number violating scattering $\nu_L + \phi_0 \leftrightarrow \nu_R + \phi_0^\dagger$ is given by

$$\Gamma = \frac{0.122}{16\pi}\,\frac{m_\nu^2\,T^3}{v^4}\,. \tag{23}$$

The decoupling temperature T_l when the interaction rate $\Gamma(T_l)$ falls below the expansion rate $H(T_l) = 1.7\sqrt{g_*}\,T_l^2/(\sqrt{8\pi}M_p)$ turns out to be

$$T_l = 2 \times 10^{14}\,GeV\,\left(\frac{0.05eV}{m_{\nu_3}}\right)^2, \tag{24}$$

where m_{ν_3} is the mass of the heaviest neutrino. A lower bound on the mass of the heaviest neutrino is given by atmospheric neutrino experiments [16] $m_\nu^2 > \Delta_{atm} = 2.5\ 10^{-3}eV^2$, which means that the decoupling temperature has an upper bound given by $T_l < 2 \times 10^{14}GeV$. WMAP [2] puts an upper bound $m_{\nu_3} < 0.7eV$, which puts the lower bound $T_l > 1.0 \times 10^{12}eV$. So, T_l lies somewhere in the range $10^{12}GeV < T_l < 10^{14}GeV$ depending on the light neutrino mass. In order that the lepton number in the N_R and N_R^c asymmetry not be wiped out by the $\nu_l + \phi_0 \rightarrow \nu_L^c + \phi_0^\dagger$ interactions, the heavy neutrinos must decay after the temperature T_l, which means that the decay width $\Gamma(T_l) < H(T_l)$. The decay width of the lightest heavy neutrino is

$$\Gamma_{N_1} = \frac{(hh^\dagger)_{11}}{8\pi}\,M_1 \sim \frac{1}{32\pi v^2}\,m_{\nu_1}\,M_1^2\,, \tag{25}$$

where $m_{\nu_1} = \sqrt{\Delta_\odot} = 0.007eV$ if the neutrino masses are hierarchical. In order that the lightest heavy neutrino N_1 decay after the universe has cooled below the decoupling T_l, its mass must have an upper bound,

$$M_1 < 0.77\,T_l\,\frac{\sqrt{\Delta_\odot}}{m_{\nu_1}}\,, \tag{26}$$

where $T_l = 2 \times 10^{12}GeV$ if the neutrino masses are hierarchial.

In order for the heavy neutrinos to attain thermal equilibrium, the re-heating temperature after inflation must be above the GUT scale. This can be achieved by the mechanism of pre-heating [17] by introducing a coupling between the right handed neutrinos and the inflaton. At the end of inflation, the non-perturbative decay of inflaton oscillations must produce right-handed neutrinos with temperature in the GUT scale, for our mechanism to work.

To summarize, a heavy right handed neutrino which decouples at $10^{16}GeV$ and decays below the temperature

$10^{12} GeV$ will produce a lepton asymmetry in the light neutrinos of the required magnitude, $n_L/s \sim 10^{-10}$. The main pre-requisite is that there must be thermal distribution of right handed neutrinos at the GUT temperature.

Leptogenesis in Warm Inflation. The natural application of this scenario is the warm inflation models as there is a large non-zero Ricci curvature from the inflaton potential during inflation and a large temperature where the lepton number violating interaction can be at equilibrium. Only the standard model fields, the light neutrino and the Higgs doublet, are needed for generating lepton asymmetry. The CP violation is provided by the operator (8) where $\psi = (\nu_L, \nu_L^c)$ in the chiral representation. The lepton number violating interactions which change the number of ν_L and ν_L^c are $\nu_L + \phi_0 \leftrightarrow \nu_L^c + \phi_0^\dagger$ arising from the effective dimension five operator (21). The decoupling temperature is related to the light neutrino mass as given in (24).

The Ricci scalar is related to the Hubble expansion rate during inflation as $R = -12H^2$ and its time derivative is related to the slow roll parameter $\epsilon = -\dot{H}/H^2$ as $\dot{R} = 24\epsilon H^3$. The lepton asymmetry in warm inflation is given by

$$\frac{n_L}{s} = \frac{n(\nu_L) - n(\nu_L^c)}{s} = 1.91 \frac{g_\nu}{g_*} \frac{\epsilon H^3}{M_P T_l^2}\,, \qquad (27)$$

where T_l is the light neutrino decoupling temperature (24).

The power spectrum of curvature perturbation in thermal inflation and the spectral index of scalar perturbations are expressed in terms of H and ϵ [18]

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} = \left(\frac{\pi}{16}\right)^{1/2} \frac{H^{1/2}\Gamma^{1/2} T}{|\epsilon|}\,, \quad n_s - 1 = -\frac{27}{4}\frac{H}{\Gamma}\epsilon\,, \qquad (28)$$

where Γ is the damping parameter in the inflaton equation of motion and represents the coupling between the inflaton and the thermal bath.

Choosing the parameters (H, Γ, T, ϵ) as $H \simeq 7 \times 10^{12} GeV$, $\Gamma \simeq 1.5 \times 10^{12} GeV$, $T \simeq 8 \times 10^{12} GeV$ and $\epsilon = -0.001$ we obtain $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \simeq 2 \times 10^{-9}$ and $n_s = 0.968$ which are consistent with the WMAP observations [2] of the amplitude of the curvature power spectrum $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} = (2.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-9}$ and the spectral index $n_s = 0.951 \pm 0.017$. Substituting these values of (H, T, ϵ) in (27) we obtain the required value for lepton asymmetry, $n_L/s = 1.19 \times 10^{-10}$. From (24) we see that $T_l = 10^{13} GeV$ corresponds to the neutrino mass of $m_{\nu_3} = 0.15 eV$.

Discussions In this paper we have shown that the leading order fermion-gravity CP violating interaction is given by (8). We have shown that for the Majorana neutrinos, this operator has the effect of splitting the degeneracy between the particles and antiparticles which can give rise to lepton number violation in some generic cosmological scenarios without fine-tuning any extra parameter. In standard inflation, the heavy neutrinos must be reheated to the GUT temperature possibly by the mechanism of pre-heating [17]. In warm inflation the required lepton asymmetry is achieved by generic values of parameters which fit CMB observations, and there is a definite prediction that the standard model neutrinos must have a Majorana mass $m_\nu = 0.25 eV$ which can be tested in double-beta decay experiments [12].

SM would like to thank Department of Physics, University of Salerno and INFN - Gruppo Collegato di Salerno for their hospitality and Prof. G Scarpetta for discussions.

[1] C. J. Copi, D. N. Schramm and M. S. Turner, Science **267**, 192 (1995) [arXiv:astro-ph/9407006].

[2] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, arXiv:astro-ph/0603449.

[3] H. Davoudiasl, R. Kitano, G. D. Kribs, H. Murayama and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 201301 (2004).

[4] A. D. Sakharov, JETP Lett. **5**, 24 (1967).

[5] K. Kajantie, M. Laine, K. Rummukainen and Y. Schroder, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 105008 (2003).

[6] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **174**, 45 (1986).

[7] V. A. Kuzmin, V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **155**, 36 (1985).

[8] M. A. Luty, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 455 (1992).

[9] M. Flanz, E. A. Paschos and U. Sarkar, Phys. Lett. B **345**, 248 (1995).

[10] L. Covi, E. Roulet and F. Vissani, Phys. Lett. B **384**, 169 (1996).

[11] A. Berera, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3218 (1995).

[12] P. Vogel, arXiv:hep-ph/0611210.

[13] I. Y. Kobzarev and L. B. Okun, Sov. Phys. JETP **16**, 1343 (1963).

[14] A. Khare and J. Oliensis, Phys. Rev. D **29**, 1542 (1984).

[15] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1566 (1979).

[16] J. Hosaka *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **74**, 032002 (2006).

[17] G. F. Giudice, M. Peloso, A. Riotto and I. Tkachev, JHEP **9908**, 014 (1999)

[18] L. M. H. Hall, I. G. Moss and A. Berera, Phys. Lett. B **589**, 1 (2004)